1	JEFFREY L. HOGUE (SBN 234557)		
	jhogue@hoguebelonglaw.com		
2	TYLER J. BELONG (SBN 234543)		
3	tbelong@hoguebelonglaw.com		
	JULIE A. KEARNS (SBN 246949)		
4	jkearns@hoguebelonglaw.com		
_	HOGUE & BELONG		
5	170 Laurel Street		
6	San Diego, CA 92101		
	Telephone: (619) 238-4720		
7	Facsimile: (619) 238-5260		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and all others similarly situ	ated	
	Theories for Framenis and all outers similarly stea	ated.	
9			
10	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA – CO	ONTRA COSTA SUPERIOR COURT	
10		la v	
12	KIARA ROSS individually, and on behalf of all	Case No.:	
13	others similarly situated; and ROES 1 through 100		
	inclusive;	[Imaged File]	
14	771 1 100	CLACCACTION	
15	Plaintiffs,	CLASS ACTION	
		(Plaintiff Class, Cal. Code Civ. Proc., § 382)	
16	V.	(1 familifi Class, Car. Code Civ. 1 foc., § 362)	
17	SEQUOIA EQUITIES, INCORPORATED, a	JURY DEMAND	
17	California Corporation; SEQUOIA EQUITIES –		
18	ALIZE, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT SEEKING	
	PARTNERSHIP a California Limited Partnership,	DECLARATIVE RELIEF, DAMAGES,	
19	SEQUOIA EQUITIES - ALTA LOMA, A	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND RESTITUTION	
20	CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a		
	California Limited Partnership, SEQUOIA	1. Violation of California Civil Code §	
21	EQUITIES-CROSS POINTE, A CALIFORNIA	1950.5	
22	LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited	2. Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code	
22	Partnership, SEQUOIA GLEN PARTNERS, A	§§ 17200, et seq.)	
23	CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a		
	California Limited Partnership, LA VALENCIA		
24	APARTMENTS, LTD., a California Limited		
25	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES – CONCORD		
23	PC LLC, a California Limited Liability Company,		
26	POINTE BENICIA – SHADOW OAKS		
	INVESTORS A CALIFORNIA LIMITED		
27	PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited		
28	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES IRON POINT,		
	A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a		

1	California Limited Partnership, SEQUOIA
2	EQUITIES – PALOMA, A CALIFORNIA LITMITED PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
2	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUTIES – HIDDEN
3	HILLS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
4	PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
5	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES – NIGUEL SUMMIT, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
	PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
6	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES – MILL
7	SPRINGS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
8	PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
0	Partnership, PLUM TREE LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, MARTINEZ
9	REGENCY PARK LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a
10	California Limited Partnership, MABURY
	RIDGECREST LLC, a California Limited
11	Liability Company, RUTHERFORD VALLEY RIDGE LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability
12	Company, FOUNTAINS PARAGON LLC, a
13	California Limited Liability Company,
	RUTHERFORD 17 MILE LP, a California
14	Limited Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUTIES – PARK CENTRAL, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
15	PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
16	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES –
10	FAIRWAYS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
17	PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
18	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES – INNSBROOK VILLAGE LTD. A CALIFORNIA
19	LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
19	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES – SHALIKO,
20	A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a
21	California Limited Partnership, KOTLIER ERNEST M, an Individual, SHELTER COVE
22	APARTMENTS, LTD., a California Limited
22	Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES – VENU, A
23	CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a
24	California Limited Partnership, SEQUOIA EQUITIES – HIDDEN LAKE, A CALIFORNIA
0.5	LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
25	Partnership, FOUNTAINS ARTISAN LLC, a
26	California Limited Liability Company, RLD
27	EVIVA LLC, a California Limited Liability
	Company, FOUNTAINS WOLF RANCH LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, DLC
28	Cantolina Elimica Elacinty Company, DEC

1	SACRAMENTO LLC, a California Limited
2	Liability Company, SEQUOIA EQUITIES – LARKSPUR WOODS, A CALIFORNIA
	LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
3	Partnership, GREENERY ROSEWALK LLC, a
4	California Limited Liability Company, SE-
_	SHADOW RIDGE LLC, a California Limited
5	Liability Company, SEQUOIA EQUITIES- RIVER OAKS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
6	PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
7	Partnership, FLORA LLC VELOCITY, a
,	California Limited Liability Corporation,
8	SEQUOIA EQUITIES – ATRIUM LLC, a
9	California Limited Liability Company,
	BALLENA VILLAGE LLC, a California Limited
10	Liability Company, CCD BALLENA LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, TOWER
11	ALAMEDA LLC, a California Limited Liability
	Company, GARDEN COURT APARTMENTS,
12	LLC, a California Limited Liability Company,
13	PLC 3, LP, a California Limited Partnership,
14	FULLER PROPERTIES, LLC, a California
14	Limited Liability Company, QUAIL HILL APARTMENTS, LLC, a California Limited
15	Liability Company, BON AIRE APARTMENTS,
16	LLC, a California Limited Liability Company,
	MT. VIEW APARTMENTS, LLC, a California
17	Limited Liability Company, KILLARNEY
18	PROPERTIES, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a California Limited
1.0	Partnership, BEL BROOK APARTMENTS, A
19	CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a
20	California Limited Partnership, BERE ISLAND
21	PROPERTIES I, LLC, a California Limited
2.1	Liability Company, BERE ISLAND PROPERTIES II, LLC, a California Limited
22	Liability Company, BERE ISLAND
23	PROPERTIES III, LLC, a California Limited
	Liability Company, PENTAGON
24	APARTMENTS, LLC, a California Limited
25	Liability Company, CORONADO
26	APARTMENTS, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, FREMONT ARMS
20	APARTMENTS, LLC, a California Limited
27	Liability Company, PARKWAY APARTMENRS,
28	LLC, a California Limited Liability Company,

1	PINEBROOK APARTMENTS, LLC, a California	
2	Limited Liability Company, RAMBLEWOOD	
2	APARTMENTS, LLC, a California Limited	
3	Liability Company, CORAL GARDENS	
	APARTMENTS, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, SE VILLA PALMS LLC, a	
4	California Limited Liability Company,	
5	ALDERWOOD PARK APARTMENTS, LLC, a	
	California Limited Liability Company,	
6	BISCAYNE APARTMENTS, LLC, a California	
7	Limited Liability Company, JUNCTION	
	AVENUE, LLC, a California Limited Liability	
8	Company, CREEKSIDE APARTMENT	
9	INVESTORS, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability	
	Company, PEPPERTREE APARTMENTS, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company,	
10	MARINA HAVEN APARTMENTS, LLC, a	
11	California Limited liability Company, MARINA	
	PLAZA APARTMENTS, LLC, a California	
12	Limited Liability Company, SUMMERHILL	
13	TERRACE APARTMENTS FUND IV, LLC, a	
	California Limited Liability Company, BAYFAIR	
14	EAST APARTMENT FUND VI, LLC, a	
15	California Limited Liability Company, SPRING ROSA CORPORATION, a California Stock	
	Corporation, SANDPIPER VILLAGE LLC, a	
16	California Limited Liability Company; and DOES	
17	1 through 500, inclusive.	
1.0		
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

Plaintiff avers:

## **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims for relief asserted herein pursuant to Article 6, Section 10 of the Constitution of the State of California, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other courts." The statutes under which this action is brought do not specify any other basis for jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims to another court.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over all defendants because upon information and belief, each defendant is a citizen of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in California, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market so as to render this Court's jurisdiction over it consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

## **VENUE**

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the California Constitution, Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court, "Original Jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other courts." The causes of action alleged herein are not reserved for any court other than the Superior Court of California. Additionally, the statutes under which this action is brought do not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over each of the defendants because upon information and belief, each defendant is either a citizen of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 5. Venue as to SEQUOIA is proper in this judicial district under California Code of Civil Procedure sections 395(a) and 395.5 as a portion of the acts complained of herein occurred in the County of Contra Costa. The injuries to Plaintiff occurred in the County of Contra Costa. SEQUOIA either owns, maintains offices, transacts business, has an agent or agents within the Contra Costa, or otherwise is found within the County of Contra Costa.

### **CERTAIN AVERMENTS UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF**

6. The averments of fact which are contained within certain Paragraphs of this Complaint are made upon information and belief which may be grounded in whole or in part upon matters discovered through investigation conducted by the undersigned counsel.

## **PARTIES**

- 7. Plaintiff KIARA ROSS is an individual, a resident of Contra Costa County, and a citizen of the State of California who resided at one of the Defendants' (defined below) apartment complexes in Contra Costa County during all relevant times.
- 8. Plaintiffs ROES 1 through 500 are former tenants of one or more of the Defendants herein, who, though not yet identified, are similarly situated to the above-named Plaintiff, and who may serve as additional class representatives. The true names of Plaintiffs ROES 1 through 500 will be added to this Complaint when their identities become known. Hereinafter plaintiff KIARA ROSS and unidentified plaintiff ROES 1-100 shall be collectively called "Plaintiffs".
- 9. Defendant SEQUOIA EQUITIES, INCORPORATED, a California Corporation (hereinafter "SEQUOIA") holds itself out as "one of the largest privately held property management companies on the West Coast..." SEQUOIA is a multi-dwelling residential apartment investor, owner, developer, and property management juggernaut with its principal address in Contra Costa, California. Melinda Pedersen is the agent for service of process, listing her address as 1777 Botelho Drive Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 10. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant SEQUOIA's officers are William Brooks, Melinda Pedersen, and Rita Crawford. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant SEQUOIA's directors are Randy Hecht, Aaron Hecht, and Stanford Jones. According to SEQUOIA's website, it now owns and/or manages approximately 83 apartment complexes (67 of which are located in California).
- 11. Within its portfolio, SEQUOIA owns and/or manages the 67 apartment complexes (collectively, the "APARTMENT COMPLEXES), which are each owned individually by 67 single

purpose entities ("SPEs")<sup>1</sup>.

- 12. SEQUOIA, in bad faith, retains residential security deposits from its tenants in violation of California law which forms the gravamen of the instant suit. Pursuant to design, SEQUOIA has centralized security deposit administration of the APARTMENT COMPLEXES by tasking the umbrella entity, SEQUOIA, with developing and administering security deposit procedures and policies and practices uniformly across all SPEs. As such, Plaintiffs are informed and believe that SEQUOIA exclusively manages properties that it owns and/or manages through various entities.
- 13. The SPEs that own the APARTMENT COMPLEXES and are directly owned by the parent company, SEQUIOA are as follows:

## Sequoia Owned Properties

- SEQUOIA EQUITIES-ALIZE, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
  owns and does business as "Alize at Aliso Viejo Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling
  residential apartment complex generally located at 2 Enterprise, Aliso Viejo, CA
  92656. SEQUOIA EQUITIES-ALIZE, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
  PARTNERSHIP's and SEQUOIA share the same principal address of 1777 Botelho
  Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 2. SEQUOIA EQUITIES ALTA LOMA, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Sonora at Alta Loma," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 6653 Canary Pine Ave, Alta Loma, CA 91737. SEQUOIA EQUITIES - ALTA LOMA, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share the same principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 3. SEQUOIA EQUITIES-CROSS POINTE, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED

  PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Cross Pointe Apartment Homes," a

<sup>1.</sup> A SPE is a legal entity, typically a limited liability company (LLC) or corporation, that is created for the sole purpose of holding a specific parcel of investment real estate. Other than the specific parcel of real estate, the SPE holds no other assets and is subject to no other liabilities.

multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 5100 Vista Grand
Dr, Antioch, CA 94531. <b>SEQUOIA EQUITIES-CROSS POINTE, A</b>
CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA also share the same
principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is
the same principal address as the parent company SEQUOIA.

- 4. SEQUOIA GLEN PARTNERS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Sterling Heights Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 150 Rankin Way, Benicia, CA 94510. SEQUOIA GLEN PARTNERS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 5. LA VALENCIA APARTMENTS, LTD. owns and does business as "La Valencia Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 350 Budd Ave, Campbell, CA 95008. LA VALENCIA APARTMENTS, LTD. and SEQUOIA also share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 6. SEQUOIA EQUITIES CONCORD PC LLC owns and does business as "Park Central," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1555 Galindo St, Concord, CA 94520. SEQUOIA EQUITIES CONCORD PC LLC's and SEQUOIA share the same principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 7. POINTE BENICIA SHADOW OAKS INVESTORS A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Shadow Oaks Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 202 Calvert Dr, Cupertino, CA 95014. POINTE BENICIA-SHADOW OAKS INVESTORS A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP's and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 8. SEQUOIA EQUITIES IRON POINT, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED

PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Iron Point at Prairie Oaks," a multi-
dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1550 Iron Point Rd,
Folsom, CA 95630. <b>SEQUOIA EQUITIES IRON POINT, A CALIFORNIA</b>
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777
Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.

- 9. SEQUOIA EQUITIES PALOMA, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Paloma Summit Condominium Rentals," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 26371 Paloma, Foothill Ranch, CA 92610. SEQUOIA EQUITIES PALOMA, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 10. SEQUOIA EQUITIES HIDDEN HILLS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Hidden Hills Condominium Rentals," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 30041 Tessier Street, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677. SEQUOIA EQUITIES HIDDEN HILLS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596. Further, SEQUOIA EQUITIES HIDDEN HILLS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP's registered agent for service of process, Melinda Pederson, is also an officer, director and/or manager of its parent company SEQUOIA.
- 11. SEQUOIA EQUITIES NIGUEL SUMMIT, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Niguel Summit Condominium Rentals," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 30252 Pacific Island Dr Ste 100 Laguna Niguel, CA 92677. SEQUOIA EQUITIES NIGUEL SUMMIT, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 12. **SEQUOIA EQUITIES MILL SPRINGS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** owns and does business as "Mill Springs Park Apartment Homes," a

multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1809 Railroad Ave,
Livermore, CA 94550. SEQOUIA EQUITIES - MILL SPRINGS, A
CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal
address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.

- 13. PLUM TREE LLC owns and does business as "Plum Tree Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1097 Maywood Ln, Martinez, CA 94553. PLUM TREE LLC's mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address as its parent company SEQUOIA.
- 14. MARTINEZ REGENCY PARK LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Regency Plaza Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 600 J St, Martinez, CA 94553. MARTINEZ REGENCY PARK LIMITED PARTNERSHIP's mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is also the same principal address as its parent company SEQUOIA.
- 15. MABURY RIDGECREST LLC owns and does business as "Ridgecrest Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 3430 Vista Oaks Dr, Martinez, CA 94553. MABURY RIDGECREST LLC's mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address as its parent company, SEQUOIA.
- 16. RUTHERFORD VALLEY RIDGE LLC owns and does business as "Valley Ridge Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 900 Roanoke Dr, Martinez, CA 94553. RUTHERFORD VALLEY RIDGE LLC's mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address as its parent company SEQUOIA.
- 17. **FOUNTAINS PARAGON LLC** owns and does business as "Paragon at Old Town," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 700 S Myrtle Ave, Monrovia, CA 91016. **FOUNTAINS PARAGON LLC** and **SEQUOIA** share a

2.7

Difficipal address of 1/// Dotemo Di., Suite 300 Walliut Cicek, CA 7437	principal address of 1777	Botelho Dr., Suite 3	300 Walnut Creek.	CA 94596
---	---------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------

- 18. RUTHERFORD 17 MILE LP own and does business as "Seventeen Mile Drive Village Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1012 Pacific Grove Ln Pacific Grove, CA 93950. RUTHERFORD 17 MILE LP's mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address of its parent company SEQUOIA.
- 19. SEQUOIA EQUITIES PARK CENTRAL, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Azure Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1400 Technology Ln, Petaluma, CA 94954. SEQUOIA EQUITIES PARK CENTRAL, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 20. SEQUOIA EQUITIES FAIRWAYS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "The Reserve at Capital Center Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 3466 Data Dr, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. SEQUOIA EQUITIES FAIRWAYS A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP's mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address as its parent company SEQUOIA. Further, the individual registered agent of SEQUOIA EQUITIES FAIRWAYS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP is Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager of SEQUOIA.
- 21. SEQUOIA EQUITIES INNSBROOK VILLAGE LTD. A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Esplanade Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 11711 Collett Ave, Riverside, CA 92505. SEQUOIA EQUITIES INNSBROOK VILLAGE LTD. A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.

Additionally, the individual registered agent for service of process for <b>SEQUOIA</b>
EQUITIES INNSBROOK VILLAGE LTD. A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP is Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager
of SEOUOIA.

- 22. SEQUOIA EQUITIES SHALIKO, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
  PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Shaliko," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 5051 El Don Dr, Rocklin, CA 95677.
  SEQUOIA EQUITIES SHALIKO, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
  PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596. Further, the individual registered agent for service of process for SEQUOIA EQUITIES SHALIKO, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED
  PARTNERSHIP is Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager of SEQUOIA.
- 23. KOTLIER ERNEST M owns and does business as "Park Ridge Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 4949 Snyder Ln, Rohnert Park, CA 94928. KOTLIER ERNEST M's mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address as its parent company SEQUOIA.
- 24. SHELTER COVE APARTMENTS, LTD. owns and does business as "Deer Valley Apartment Homes," a multi-residential apartment complex generally located at 1801 Eureka Rd, Roseville, CA 95661. SHELTER COVE APARTMENTS, LTD and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596. Further, the individual registered agent for service of process for SHELTER COVE APARTMENTS, LTD. is Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager of its parent company SEQUOIA.
- 25. **SEQUOIA EQUITIES VENU, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** owns and does business as "Venu at Galleria Condominium Rentals," a multi-dwelling residential apartment generally located at 301 Gibson Dr, Roseville, CA 95678.

SEQUOIA EQUITIES – VENU, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
and <b>SEQUOIA</b> share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut
Creek, CA 94596. Further, the individual registered agent for service of process for
SEQUOIA EQUITIES – VENU, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP is
Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager of its parent
company SEQUOIA.

- 26. SEQUOIA EQUITIES HIDDEN LAKE, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Hidden Lake Condominium Rentals," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 7551 Greenhaven Dr, Sacramento, CA 95831. SEQUOIA EQUITIES HIDDEN LAKE, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 27. FOUNTAINS ARTISAN LLC owns and does business as "The Artisan Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 8282 Calvine Rd, Sacramento, CA 95828. FOUNTAINS ARTISAN LLC and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
- 28. RLD EVIVA LLC own and does business as "Eviva Midtown," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1531 N St, Sacramento, CA 95814.
  RLD EVIVA LLC mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address as SEQUOIA.
- 29. FOUNTAINS WOLF RANCH LLC owns and does business as "Wolf Ranch Condominium Rentals," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 7200 Jacinto Ave, Sacramento, CA 95823. FOUNTAINS WOLF RANCH LLC's and SEQUOIA share the same principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596. In addition, the individual registered agent for service of process for FOUNTAINS WOLF RANCH LLC, Melinda Pederson, is also an officer, director and/or manager of the parent company SEQUOIA.
- 30. DLC SACRAMENTO LLC own and does business as "Shore Park at Riverlake," a

multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 52 Pocket Rd,
Sacramento, CA 95831. <b>DLC SACRAMENTO LLC's</b> mailing address is 1777
Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address
as <b>SEQUOIA</b> .

- 31. SEQUOIA EQUITIES LARKSPUR WOODS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "Larkspur Woods," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 2900 Weald Way, Sacramento, CA 95833. SEQUOIA EQUITIES LARKSPUR WOODS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596. Further, the individual registered agent for service of process for SEQUOIA EQUITIES LARKSPUR WOODS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP is Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager of SEQUOIA.
- 32. **GREENERY ROSEWALK LLC** directly owns and does business as "Rosewalk," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 3601 Copperfield Dr, San Jose, CA 95136. **GREENERY ROSEWALK LLC** and **SEQUOIA** share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596. Further, the individual registered as agent for service of process for **GREENERY ROSEWALK LLC** is Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager of the parent company **SEQUOIA**.
- 33. **SE-SHADOW RIDGE LLC** owns and does business as "Shadow Ridge Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1987 Ridgegate Ln, Simi Valley, CA 93065. **SE-SHADOW RIDGE LLC** and **SEQUOIA** share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596. Further, the individual registered as agent for service of process for **SE-SHADOW RIDGE LLC** is Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager of **SEQUOIA**.
- 34. SEQUOIA EQUITIES RIVER OAKS, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED

PARTNERSHIP owns and does business as "River Oaks Apartment Homes," a
multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1000 Allison Dr,
Vacaville, CA 95687. <b>SEQUOIA EQUITIES – RIVER OAKS, A CALIFORNIA</b>
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SEQUOIA share a principal address is 1777
Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596.

- 35. **FLORA LLC VELOCITY** owns and does business as "Flora Condominium Rentals," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 140 Flora Ave, Walnut Creek, CA 94595. **FLORA LLC VELOCITY**'s mailing address is 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596, which is the same principal address as **SEQUOIA**.
- 36. **SEQUOIA EQUITIES ATRIUM LLC** owns and does business as "Atrium Downtown," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1812 Trinity Ave, Walnut Creek, CA 94596. **SEQUOIA EQUITIES ATRIUM LLC** and **SEQUOIA** share a principal address of 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596. Further, the individual registered as agent for service of process for **SEQUOIA EQUITIES ATRIUM LLC** is Melinda Pederson, who is also an officer, director and/or manager of **SEQUOIA**.
- 37. **SUNRISE INVESTORS LLC** owns and does business as "Sunrise Residences Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 2750 N Texas St, Fairfield, CA 94533. In addition, the individual registered agent for service of process **SUNRISE INVESTORS LLC**, Erik Peterson, is also an officer, director, and/or manager of the parent company **SEQUOIA**.
- 14. Each of the above-referenced SPEs are subject to SEQUOIA's uniform, systematic and unlawful administration of residential security deposit are as follows:
- 15. As of the date of filing, SEQUOIA publicly proclaims on its website (https://www.elevatetosequoia.com) that the following multi-dwelling apartment complexes are part of its real estate portfolio and subject to its property management apparatus, policies,

27

28

procedures, and practices:

## Sequoia Managed Properties

- BALLENA VILLAGE LLC and CCD BALLENA LLC own and do business as "Ballena Village Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 335 Tideway Drive, Alameda, CA 94501.
- TOWER ALAMEDA LLC owns and does business as "Tower Apartment Homes," a
  multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 2465 Shoreline Dr,
  Alameda, CA 94501.
- 3. **GARDEN COURT APARTMENTS, LLC** owns and does business as "Garden Court," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 559 Buena Vista Ave, Alameda, CA 94501.
- 4. **PLC 3, LP** owns and does business as "Alicante Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally 27662 Aliso Creek Rd, Aliso Viejo, CA 92656.
- FULLER PROPERTIES, LLC owns and does business as "Vista Creek," a multidwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 22432 Center St, Castro Valley, CA 94546.
- QUAIL HILL APARTMENTS, LLC owns and does business as "Quail Hill Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 20800 Lake Chabot Rd, Castro Valley, CA 94546.
- 7. **BON AIRE APARTMENTS, LLC** owns and does business as "Bon Aire Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 20499 Santa Maria Ave Castro Valley, CA 94546.
- 8. **MT. VIEW APARTMENTS, LLC** owns and does business as "Mountain View Apartments," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 1220 Monument Blvd, Concord, CA 94520.
- 9. **KILLARNEY PROPERTIES, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** owns and does business as "Palm Lake Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling

- Gardens Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 605 Sorenson Rd, Hayward, CA 94544.
- 19. SE-VILLA PALMS LLC owns and does business as "Villa Palms Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 51 Murdell Ln, Livermore, CA 94550.
- 20. ALDERWOOD PARK APARTMENTS, LLC owns and does business as "Alderwood Park Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 277 Junction Ave, Livermore, CA 94551.
- 21. **BISCAYNE APARTMENTS, LLC** owns and does business as "Briarwood Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 3819 East Ave, Livermore, CA 94550.
- 22. **JUNCTION AVENUE, LLC** owns and does business as "Royal Gardens Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 434 Junction Ave, Livermore, CA 94551.
- 23. **CREEKSIDE APARTMENT INVESTORS, LLC** owns and does business as "Creekside Village Senior Living Apartments," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 30 Castlewood Dr, Pittsburg, CA 94565.
- 24. **PEPPERTREE APARTMENTS, LLC** owns and does business as "Peppertree Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 2811 Mckee Rd, San Jose, CA 95127.
- 25. **MARINA HAVEN APARTMENTS, LLC** owns and does business as "Marina Haven Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 2712 Marina Blvd, San Leandro, CA 94577.
- 26. MARINA PLAZA APARTMENTS, LLC owns and does business as "Marina Plaza Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 2777 Marina Blvd, San Leandro, CA 94577.
- 27. **SUMMERHILL TERRACE APARTMENTS FUND IV, LLC** owns and does business as "Summerhill Terrace Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential

- apartment complex generally located at 15267 Hesperian Blvd, San Leandro, CA 94578.
- 28. **BAYFAIR EAST APARTMENT FUND VI, LLC** owns and does business as "Bayfair Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 16077 Ashland Ave, San Lorenzo, CA 94580.
- 29. **SPRING ROSA CORPORATION** owns and does business as "Spring Lake Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 3732 Ahl Park Ct, Santa Rosa, CA 95405.
- 30. **SANDPIPER VILLAGE LLC** owns and does business as "Sandpiper Village Apartment Homes," a multi-dwelling residential apartment complex generally located at 999 Marshall Rd, Vacaville, CA 95687.
- 16. All of the above-identified 67 SPES, together with defendant SEQUOIA and the DOE defendants are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."
- 17. Upon information and belief, 100% of the putative plaintiffs resided in California at the time their cause of action accrued, more than two-thirds of putative plaintiffs continue to reside in California, all injuries complained of herein occurred within California, and all defendants are headquartered in California, own property in California and primarily, if not exclusively, do business within California.
- 18. Upon information and belief, each defendant herein has uniformity of employees, offices, officers, management, ownership, and legal representation with each other defendant.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believe and thereupon aver that Defendants are closely held companies or partnerships that commingle their funds and other assets with all other Defendants; do not maintain formal, adequate, discrete corporate records in distinction from all other Defendants; have identical officers and directors as all other Defendants; use the same offices and business locations as all other Defendants; employ the same employees and attorneys as all other Defendants; lack adequate separate capitalization; are in the same business and venture, for the benefit of the same ultimate shareholders and members, as all other Defendants; do not maintain

arm's-length relationships with all other Defendants; and share and provide labor, services, capital, revenue, real estate and/or management services with/for all other Defendants.

- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believe and thereupon aver that DOES 1 through 500 are other natural persons, corporations, limited-liability companies, general partnerships, limited partnerships, limited-liability partnerships, trusts, unincorporated associations, and/or other entities of any kind or character who have incurred liability to Plaintiff (and/or to one or more members of the Plaintiff Class) in relation to the transactions and/or occurrences that are the subject of this Complaint, or who have any interest in the subject of this Complaint.
- 21. Except as may be described here, Plaintiff is yet uninformed of the true names, capacities and nature and extent of participation in the course of conduct alleged here of the persons sued as DOES 1 through 500 inclusive, and Plaintiff is yet uninformed of the nature and extent of any interest that the persons sued as DOES 1 through 500 inclusive may have in the subject of the Complaint. Plaintiff therefore sues these defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants when ascertained.
- 22. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants named here, including DOES 1-500 and their alter-egos, are joint-tortfeasers, in joint-enterprise, co-conspirators, and acting within the scope of their agency and within their actual and apparent authority to conduct themselves in the manner herein complained.
- 23. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants named here, including DOES 1-500 and their alter-egos, acted as an owner, principal, agent, employer, employee, joint-employer, joint-venturer, franchisor, franchisee, shareholder, director, member, co-conspirator, shell, conduit, master, or partner of each other, and at all times were acting within the scope and course and in pursuance of his, her or its agency, employment, joint-employment, joint-venture, franchise, partnership, common and joint-enterprise, or actual or apparent authority in concert with each other.
- 24. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants named here, including DOES 1 -500 and their alter-egos, are individually, jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff and the Plaintiff class because each Defendant directly or indirectly, or through an agent or employee, actually, proximately and vicariously caused injury to Plaintiff as described here.

25. Upon information and belief, the acts and omissions of each of the Defendants named here, including DOES 1 – 500 and their alter-egos, contributed to the acts and omissions of each other Defendant in proximately causing the complaints, injuries, and damages alleged. Defendants approved of, condoned, and/or otherwise ratified each of the acts or omissions complained of. And Defendants aided and abetted the acts and omissions of each other Defendant, including DOES 1 - 500 and their alter-egos, in proximately causing the complaints, injuries, and damages alleged.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 26. SEQUOIA is a real estate development, acquisition, holding, and property management conglomerate that manages and controls at least 67 SPEs that hold residential apartment complexes in California. As ultimate beneficiary of 67 residential complexes in California, SEQUOIA not only realizes rental income from tens of thousands of their tenants, but also from their standard operating procedures, practices and policies of retaining the security deposits of its former tenants in bad faith, by fraudulently charging former tenants amounts against, over and above their security deposits for unsubstantiated work, work not necessary, work not performed and/or not the obligation of the departing tenant under California law.
- 27. Defendants' unreasonable, excessive, unlawful, unenforceable and/or unsubstantiated charges made against former tenants' security deposits often exceed the amount of the deposit held by Defendants. When this occurs, Defendants cause a bill, and sometimes a collections notice, to be sent to former tenants, knowing that the monies claimed are based upon fraudulent, unreasonable, excessive, unlawful, unenforceable and/or unsubstantiated move-out charges. This conduct has resulted in damages to former tenants both through the collection of such amounts and by the reporting of such alleged debts to third-parties, defaming former tenants, damaging their credit and impairing their ability to rent other apartments.
- 28. The Civil Code sets forth requirements for the treatment of security deposits upon termination of a residential lease, *inter alia*, section 1950.5(b) provides that a landlord may only use a security deposit to satisfy charges against a former tenant for: (1) rent in arrears; (2) repairs

"exclusive of ordinary wear and tear"; (3) cleaning required to bring the leasehold back to the condition it was in when the tenant accepted the tenancy; and (4) "to remedy future defaults by the tenant in any obligation under the rental agreement to restore, replace, or return personal property or appurtenances" if provided for in the lease.

- 29. Civil Code section 1950.5(e) provides that "the landlord may claim on those amounts as are <u>reasonably necessary</u>....." for specific categories of charges. (emphasis added). SEQUOIA had standardized cleaning charges that were imposed as a flat fee regardless of the cleanliness of the unit.
- 30. Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(1) provides that, no later than 21 calendar days after the tenant vacates the premises, the landlord "shall furnish the tenant, by personal delivery or by first-class mail, postage prepaid, a copy of an itemized statement indicating the basis for, and the amount of, any security received and the disposition of the security, and shall return any remaining portion of the security to the tenant."
- 31. Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(2)(B) provides that the landlord must also include copies of documents substantiating the charges incurred and deducted by the landlord to repair or clean the premises; specifically, the "landlord shall provide the tenant a copy of the bill, invoice, or receipt supplied by the person or entity performing the work."<sup>2</sup>
- 32. Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(2)(A) provides: "If the landlord or landlord's employee did the work, the itemized statement shall reasonably describe the work performed. The itemized statement shall include the time spent and the reasonable hourly rate charged." SEQUOIA's itemizations and other documentation sent to former tenants, referred to as "Move-out Statements," by universal, standard operating procedure and policy do not satisfy any of the requirements as set forth in section 1950.5(g)(2).
- 33. SEQUOIA's standard operating procedures for the administration of its former tenants' security deposits uniformly and systematically violates Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(2). SEQUOIA, as a matter of standard policy and practice, does not send bills, receipts, or invoices from

The "receipt requirement" of Civ. Code § 1950.5(g)(2) is excepted only when deductions for cleaning and repairs combined do not exceed \$125.

the third-party vendors it alleges performed work on the vacated premises within 21 days as required by Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(1).

- 34. Additionally, SEQUOIA, as a matter of standard policy and practice does not describe the work allegedly performed on the leasehold in the manner required by code and instead uses unlawfully vague descriptions a uniform practice designed to obfuscate the work, if any, performed on a leasehold, who performed the work, and the cost and/or manhours of the work with the intention of wrongfully maximizing security deposit retention. By uniform and standard operating procedure, it is impossible to determine, in contravention of law, if the work SEQUOIA allegedly performed on the leasehold was performed by a vendor or an in-house employee.
- 35. Plaintiff KIARA ROSS is a former tenant of Defendants, formerly residing at Palm Lake Apartments. Ms. ROSS leased an apartment from Defendants beginning in approximately February 14, 2022, and vacated her leased premises with Defendants on approximately February 19, 2023. Ms. ROSS was required and did submit a security deposit of approximately \$1,050.00 to Defendants prior to moving into the leased premises.
- 36. Prior to moving out, one of Defendants' leasing consultants, Niche Williams, informed Ms. ROSS over email that she would need to have her apartment "professionally cleaned," and was required to provide receipts for such work, or Ms. ROSS would be charged. When Ms. ROSS disputed this mandatory service with Defendant's Assistant Community Manager, Kimberly Arzadon over email, Ms. Arzadon stated, "We always have the homes professionally cleaned prior to move in. Without documentation showing that your home was not cleaned upon move in, we will be charging you according to our policy." Ms. ROSS informed Defendant that it could not use her security deposit for ordinary wear and tear.
- 37. After Ms. ROSS vacated her leased premises at the Palm Lake Apartments, she received her move-out statement. This move-out statement listed charges deducted from her security deposit for "Apartment Cleaning" charges of \$250.00, "Counter top reglazing" charges of \$220.00, "Trash out" charges of \$300.00, "Interior Painting" charges of \$240.00, and "Damage Charges-reglazing-countertop" charges of \$138.00. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"** is a true and correct copy of the move-out statement Ms. ROSS received. Since these combined charges exceeded Ms. ROSS'

security deposit, Defendants demanded that Ms. ROSS pay the amount in excess of the \$1,050.00 security deposit.

- 38. KIARA ROSS was never sent any invoices for the charges and was instead sent a price sheet from "Central Painting Company" and a document from "Vega's" that listed a \$300.00 charge for "Collect and dispose of trash," but did not list the phone number for "Vega's," which is required by California Civil Code Section 1950.5(g)(2)(B). Attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"** is a true and correct copy of the price sheet and document Ms. ROSS received.
- 39. Upon receiving her move-out statement and attached documents, Ms. ROSS was confused as to why she was having her security deposit withheld. As a result, on March 2, 2023, Ms. ROSS inquired via email to SEQUOIA's representative, Kimberly Arzadon, why she was being charged for damages. Neither Kimberly Arzadon, nor any other representative from SEQUOIA, responded or addressed her Plaintiff's concerns.
- 40. SEQUOIA later sent Ms. ROSS an email on June 6, 2023, informing her of her outstanding balance, to which Ms. ROSS responded on the same date:

"We have a dispute about the itemized charges which can be traced back in previous emails which were never answered. We are currently opening a case about charges which were not damages but regular wear and tear which cannot be charged from a security deposit. There are also double charges in the list provided."

SEQUOIA never responded to the above-referenced email.

- 41. On July 21, 2023, Ms. ROSS was notified by a third-party company that she had been sent to collections for the \$250.33 outstanding balance that SEQUOIA claims she owed.
- 42. Defendants failed to provide Ms. ROSS with any invoices from third party vendors for any work purportedly performed by third party vendors for which any portion of Ms. ROSS' security deposit was withheld or deducted. Ms. ROSS never received a return of any portion of her security deposit.
  - 43. The Civil Code sets forth requirements for the treatment of security deposits upon

termination of a residential lease, *inter alia*, section 1950.5(b) provides that a landlord may only use a security deposit to satisfy charges against a former tenant for: (1) rent in arrears; (2) repairs exclusive of wear and tear; (3) cleaning required to bring the leasehold back to the condition it was in when the tenant accepted the tenancy; and (4) "to remedy future defaults by the tenant in any obligation under the rental agreement to restore, replace, or return personal property or appurtenances" if provided for in the lease.

- 44. Section 1950.5(g)(1) requires that the balance of security deposits and an itemization of their disposition must be provided to the departing tenant within 21 days of vacating the leasehold.
- 45. Section 1950.5(g)(2) describes the substantiation required to be sent to former tenants for charges levied against security deposits a reasonable description of work performed by employees including hours worked and hourly rate charged; and, for work by vendors, copies of invoices and receipts from the vendors who performed work.
- 46. Section 1950.5(m) provides that no portion of a security deposit may be deemed non-refundable by operation of the lease.
- 47. Defendants in this action systematically, uniformly, and in bad faith have violated the Civil Code to the detriment of thousands of Californians over many years by charging for repairs, replacement, and cleaning that were never done; a practice obscured by intentionally failing to itemize and substantiate repairs, replacement and cleaning by code, if they were done. As such, these former California tenants of Defendants have each been deprived of some or all of their security deposits which Defendants were legally obligated to return to its tenants at the conclusion of their respective leases.

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 48. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs.
- 49. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure section 382 on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons in the Classes,

which are composed of and defined as follows, excepting only individuals against whom or in whose favor a final judgment has already been rendered with respect to the defendant(s):

- 50. **Unsubstantiated-Charges Class:** All former residents of Defendants' California properties whose leaseholds terminated between September 25, 2018 to present, and who had at least \$125 of their security deposit retained for cleaning, repairs and/or replacements combined (the "Plaintiff Class").
- 51. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Class and to add any number of subclasses.

  The Court should permit this action to be maintained as a class action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 because:
  - Numerosity: The Plaintiff Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all
    members is impracticable. SEQUOIA owns and/or manages 67 apartment complexes
    located in California. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that there are a sufficiently
    numerous amount in the proposed class.
  - Common Questions Predominate: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all
    members of the class that predominate over any questions that affect only individual
    members. These common questions of law and fact include, *inter alia*:
    - 1. Whether Defendants enacted policies or engaged in a pattern and practice in violation of Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(1) of failing to provide itemized statements detailing charges for repairs or cleaning deducted from security deposits of its former tenants within 21 days of move out.
    - 2. Whether Defendants enacted policies or engaged in a pattern and practice of failing to provide copies of vendor-supplied bills, receipts or invoices for repairs or cleaning deducted from security deposits of its former tenants within 21 days of move out.
    - 3. Whether Defendants' uniform security deposit itemizations satisfy the requirements for any alleged "employee performed work" on vacated leaseholds under Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(2)(A).

- 4. Whether Defendants' uniform security deposit itemizations satisfy the requirements for any alleged "vendor performed work" on vacated leaseholds under Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(2).
- 5. Whether a standard nondiscretionary cleaning charge that does not take into account the condition of the premises at the inception of the tenancy violates Civil Code section 1950.5(e) and/or (b)(1) and/or whether it violates the UCL.
- 6. Whether Defendants, by operation of law, are barred from seeking recovery for amounts which could legitimately have been charged at move out due to their systematic and bad faith violation of Civil Code section 1950.5 and other equitable or statutory provisions of law.
- 7. Whether any and all Defendants are liable to each former tenant who is a class member for punitive and/or treble damages for bad-faith retention of security deposits under Civil Code section 1950.5(l).
- 8. Whether *Granberry v. Islay Investments* 9 Cal. 4th 738 mandates that the Defendant return all of the security deposit retained from the Plaintiff Class for its uniform violation of Civil Code section 1950.5.
- 9. The appropriate measure of class-wide legal and/or equitable relief.
- 3. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Plaintiff Class. Plaintiff and all Plaintiff Class members sustained injuries and damages arising from Defendants' common policies, practices and course of conduct, and those injuries and damages were caused directly by the Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of law as alleged.
- 4. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the members of the Plaintiff Class. Plaintiff has no interests adverse to the interests of absent class members. Plaintiff has retained counsel adequate to prosecute the case for the entire class.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

5. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since individual joinder of all members of the class is impracticable; class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Furthermore, because Defendants are expected to contend that any individual's damages may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it difficult or impossible for individual class members to redress the wrongs done to them, while an important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The cost to the judicial system of individual adjudication would be substantial and present the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. In addition, individual actions give Defendants too many opportunities to take advantage of unrepresented tenants at a summary proceeding without access to substantial discovery, as discovery is not available in small claims court.

52. Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties that are likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Unlawful Retention of Residential Security Deposits (California Civil Code § 1950.5) (Against All Defendants)

- 53. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs.
- 54. This cause of action is brought against Defendants on behalf of Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class.
- 55. Defendants charged unsubstantiated cleaning, repair, replacement, and/or painting fees to Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class upon the termination of their leasehold and deducted these

charges from their security deposits.

- 56. Defendants did not provide a copy of an itemized statement indicating the basis for, and the amount of, any security received and the disposition of the security within 21 days of Plaintiffs' and the Plaintiff Class vacating the respective leased premises owned and managed by Defendants.
- 57. Defendants did not provide the requisite substantiation of the charges against Plaintiff's and the Plaintiff Class' security deposits as required under Civil Code section 1950.5(g)(2).
- 58. Defendants mandated that Plaintiff and Plaintiff Class incur a "cleaning charge" upon termination of their leasehold, regardless of the condition of the premises at the inception of the tenancy.
- 59. Defendants, as a result of these charges, unlawfully retained some or all of Plaintiff's and the Plaintiff Class' security deposits.
  - 60. Defendants engaged in the above-described misconduct in bad faith.
- 61. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class suffered damages.

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Restitution as a Remedy for "Unfair Competition" (California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.) (Against All Defendants)

- 62. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs.
- 63. This cause of action is brought against Defendants on behalf of Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class.
- 64. Pursuant to section 17200 of the California Business and Professions Code, "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice" constitutes "unfair competition."
- 65. The violations of the California Civil Code and other wrongdoing alleged herein constitute unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent business acts and practices, and therefore "unfair

competition," for the purposes of section 17200 of the California Business and Professions Code. Among other violations, Defendants' collections and attempted collections of amounts over and above a retained security deposit are unlawful, all entitling Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class to restitution under the UCL.

- 66. Likewise, Defendants' deduction from the Plaintiff's and the Plaintiff Class' respective security deposits without timely providing Plaintiffs and the Class legally competent itemized descriptions of the repairs, replacement or cleaning purportedly performed by Defendants constitutes an unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent business practice. And, Defendants' deduction from the Plaintiffs' and the Plaintiff Class' respective security deposits without timely providing Plaintiffs and the Class third party vendor invoices for all repairs, replacement or cleaning purportedly performed by third party vendors constitutes an unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent business practice.
- 67. Further, Defendants' mandated cleaning charge regardless of the condition of the premises whether paid by tenant herself or deducted from her security deposit is unlawful and/or unfair and/or fraudulent.
- 68. Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class have suffered financial injury in fact and have lost money and/or property as a result of such unfair competition.
- 69. The facts set forth establish that Plaintiff and the members of the Plaintiff Class are entitled to judgment over and against Defendants and all of them, jointly and severally, awarding restitution to the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class of all monies acquired by means of the described unfair competition, including wrongfully withheld security deposits and associated penalties.
- 70. Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class are further entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief determining the rights and obligations in dispute among the Parties and an order mandating Defendants adhere to the mandates of law with respect to their security deposit accounting practices.

///

///

8

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

25

2627

28

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and other members of Plaintiff Class, pray:

- For actual damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class members, including
  but not limited to, unlawfully retained security deposits; any amounts paid to
  Defendants over and above what was retained of their respective security deposits for
  unreasonable and unsubstantiated charges at move-out; plus any other amounts
  collected from Class members in connection with their leasehold termination for
  purported repairs, replacements, painting, carpet replacement or cleaning, accelerated
  rent, rental concession charge-backs, and any other charges collected in violation of
  law.
- For return of the entirety of all Plaintiff's and the Plaintiff Class' security deposits retained by Defendants;
- 3. For penalties available pursuant to Civil Code section 1950.5(1);
- 4. For penalties available pursuant to Civil Code section 3345;
- 5. For restitution for violation of Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq.;
- 6. For pre-judgment interest;
- 7. For attorney's fees and expenses recoverable under law, including, without limitation, Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5;
- 8. For costs, including class action notice and administration expenses;
- 9. For injunctive relief against Defendants' conduct, including an injunction:
  - a. Requiring Defendants to issue proper itemizations of security deposits as required by Civil Code section 1950.5 within the time prescribed by law;
  - Requiring Defendants to provide a third-party documentation for every cleaning, replacement, and repair charges consistent with the provisions of Civil Code § 1950.5(g)(2);
  - c. Prohibiting Defendants from charging any fees against residential security deposits other than the charges specifically authorized by Civil Code section

1	1950.5(b); and	
2	d. To declare all alleged outstanding balance	es owed by class members invalid and
3	prohibit collection thereupon.	
4	10. For declaratory relief, declaring that outstanding	balances alleged owed by the
5	Plaintiff Class members are extinguished by ope	ration of this suit;
6	11. For punitive damages;	
7	12. For all other appropriate declaratory and equitab	le relief; and
8	13. Any other relief that this Court deems just.	
9		
10	Dated: September 22, 2023	GUE & BELONG
11		
12	By: <u>s/ Jej</u>	
13 14	TYI	FREY L. HOGUE, ESQ. LER J. BELONG, ESQ.
15	Atto	IE A. KEARNS, ESQ.  orneys for Plaintiff
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

27

28

## **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs and ROES 1 through 100, individually and on behalf of the other members of the Plaintiff Class, hereby demand trial by jury of all issues triable by a jury, pursuant to applicable law, including, but not necessarily limited to Article I, ¶16 of the California Constitution, and/or § 592 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

Dated: September 22, 2023

**HOGUE & BELONG** 

By:\_s/Jeffrey Hogue\_

JEFFREY L. HOGUE, ESQ. TYLER J. BELONG, ESQ. JULIE A. KEARNS, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Exhibit "A"

### **Move Out Statement**

Date: 03/02/2023

Code	t0024845	Property	plm	Lease From	02/14/2022
Name	Kiara Ross	Unit	A103	Lease To	02/14/2023
Forwarding Address	350 Caroni St	Status	Past	Move In	02/14/2022
		Rent	2,100.00	Move Out	02/19/2023
City	Walnut Creek, CA 94597			Notice	02/06/2023
Telephone	(559) 853-5383 (559) 853-5383		Property Address Pain	n Lake 780 Oak Grove Co	oncord CA

Date De	Description	Charge	Payment	Balance	Chg/Rec
	Balance as of 2/01/2023			0.00	
02/01/2023	Rent (02/2023)	2,100.00	0.00	2,100,00	1536884
02/01/2023	Service Fee - 12/01/22-12/31/22	4.65	0.00	2,104.65	1537435
02/01/2023	Sewer - 12/01/22-12/31/22	32.61	0.00	2,137.26	1537436
02/01/2023	Trash - 12/01/22-12/31/22	14.52	0.00	2,151.78	1537437
02/01/2023	Water - 12/01/22-12/31/22	30.36	0.00	2,182.14	1537438
02/01/2023	Water Heating - 12/01/22-12/31/22	12,86	0.00	2,195.00	1537439
02/15/2023	Utilities 11/1 payment	-95.00	0.00	2,100.00	1552095
02/21/2023	chk# 0017406255 :CHECKscan Payment - A103	0.00	1,425.00	675.00	604956
02/21/2023	Final Move-out Fee	1.95	0.00	676.95	1553767
02/21/2023	Final Service Fee	4.65	0.00	681.60	1553768
02/21/2023	Final Sewer - 01/01/23-02/19/23	52.60	0.00	734,20	1553769
02/21/2023	Final Trash - 01/01/23-02/19/23	23.42	0.00	757.62	1553770
02/21/2023	Final Water - 01/01/23-02/19/23	48.97	0.00	806.59	1553771
02/21/2023	Final Water Heating - 01/01/23-02/19/23	20,74	0.00	827,33	1553772
02/28/2023	:Deposit Received credit	-1,050.00	0.00	(222.67)	1614845
02/28/2023	Rent (02/2023) Credit 9 days	-675.00	0.00	(897.67)	1614846
02/28/2023	Apartment Cleaning	250.00	0.00	(647.67)	1614847
02/28/2023	Reglazing bathtub and inclosure	320.00	0.00	(327.67)	1614848
02/28/2023	Counter top reglazing	220.00	0.00	(107.67)	1614849
02/28/2023	Trash out	300.00	0.00	192.33	1614850
02/28/2023	Interior Painting	240.00	0.00	432.33	1614851
03/02/2023	Damage Charges-reglazing bathtub credit	-320.00	0.00	112.33	1644455
03/02/2023	Damage Charges-reglazing-countertop	138,00	0.00	250.33	1644456

Total Account Balance Due

250.33

Please review your final account statement. Your deposit did not cover all assessed charges to your account. You will have fifteen (15) days to remit payment for the balance owed. Please make payments payable to the community name and mail it to the site address provided. Alternatively, if you have a Resident Portal account, you may log in to your account to submit a payment online using an eCheck or Credit Card. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact our offices. Thank you.

Manager

Exhibit "B"

# Central Painting Company

P.O. Box 12336 Pleasanton, CA 94588 925-484-5033

## 11/17/22

Palm Lake Apartments 780 Oak Grove Rd. Concord, Ca. 94518

Central Painting proposes to do the following work at your property for the following prices. These prices do not include paint.

Studio		\$275.00
1 Bedroom	Full Paint	\$300.00
2 Bedroom	Full Paint	\$350.00
3 Bedroom	Full Paint	\$400.00
Ceiling		\$75.00
Crown Molding		\$70.00
Prime & Paint Ca	binets	\$275.00
Storage Units		\$30.00
Front Door		\$25.00
Two Tone		\$50.00
Patio & Entry Flo	or	\$35.00
Accent Wall		\$40.00
Balcony/Patio		\$75.00
Prime or Double	Coat	Half of Full Paint
Occupied Unit		Add \$100.00

## Re-glaze (includes paint)

Countertop	\$275.00
Vanity	\$150.00
Bathtub	\$225.00
Tub and Enclosure	\$400.00
Shower & Enclosure	\$325.00



Date	Invoice #
2/24/2023	3020

Bill To	
Palm Lake	
780 Oak Grove Rd	
Concord, CA 94518	

Job Site:	
Palm Lake 780 Oak Grove Rd	
Concord, CA 94518	

P.O. Number	Terms	Rep	Ship	Via	F.O.B.	Project
			2/24/2023			
<u> </u>		Descri	ption			Amount
Building A unit Collect and dispo	103					
Jollect and dispo	ose of trash					300.00
					Total	\$300.00

PLEASE REMIT TO THE FOLLOWING: P.O BOX 2478, SAUSALITO, CA 94966